

Opportunities to find leverage for polio transition integration with other global health initiatives

Diana Chang Blanc, WHO Geneva IVB

Transition Independent Monitoring Board, London 2 November 2017













Polio and other health initiatives

There is a <u>risk</u> to other health goals when GPEI funding stops, as the polio infrastructure is already helping to support <u>results</u> of other health programmes

There is an **opportunity** for current GPEI staff, assets and knowledge to further contribute to achieving other health goals

Stronger health programs (i.e. stronger RI, strengthened surveillance) will become increasingly important to maintaining a polio-free world





Overall Context

- Sustainable plans must be country driven and designed
- Navigate within an orbit of competing/complementary global health commitments, principles and agreements
- National goals toward Universal Health Care
 - Equity in access to health services
 - Quality of health services
 - Protection against financial-risk
- Sustainable Development Goals (health targets)
- International Health Regulations (global security)
- Transition planning is trying to broker a bottom-up and top-down process





Global Initiative/ Program	Is there interaction with GPEI today?	Programme components that align with GPEI functions or skills	Potential for future synergies
Measles & Rubella Initiative		Microplanning and service delivery, community mobilisation, surveillance/lab, campaigns, outbreak response, political engagement	
Every Woman Every Child - MNCH		Community engagement, emergency preparedness, surveillance and response, water and sanitation, immunization, political engagement	
Immunization - GAVI		Microplanning and service delivery, community mobilisation, surveillance/lab, data mngt, campaigns, political engagement	
Global Health Security- WHE/IHR		Surveillance, data mngt, emergency and outbreak response, campaigns	
Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN)		Social mobilization, community linkages, community based surveillance, advocacy	
Global Fund- HIV/malaria/TB		Community based surveillance, advocacy. Political engagement for more domestic financing.	

Polio Transition and Measles & Rubella Initiative

16 countries with largest polio assets



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement. Data Source: <type data source> Map Production: <type unit name> World Health Organization



© WHO 2015. All rights reserved.

- 88% of estimated measles deaths occur in the 16 priority countries
- Most of the world's rubella and CRS cases (100,000)
- Polio field staff spend nearly 1/3 of their time working on RI & MR.
- Similar functions for improving SIA quality and surveillance
 - Improve micro-planning, training, supervision of HCW
 - Vaccine management and cold chain, waste management system & injection-safety standards
 - AEFI surveillance
 - Estimated \$77 million (70%) of annual needs for VPD/MR surveillance are coming from polio
- Over 2500 polio-funded staff are supporting VPD/MR surveillance

Capacity challenges for absorption of functions: Example in AFR

No specified funding

- AFP Surveillance:
 - USD 33 and 43 million / year for surveillance activities and lab operations at country level in 2015
- GPEI funding:
 - 355 Polio surveillance officers/ epidemiologists

(WHO

ntract

taff)

nting the

Meas

ar

provided by YF and MNTE programs for surveillance

- USD 0.4 0.0 immon / year for the purchase of lab reagents and test kits
- Facing a significant decline in partner funding for measles surveillance/ lab during the past 2 years
- 7 Measles-specific staff(CDC)
 - 3 at Regional-IST level and only 4 at country level

Every Woman Every Child – MNCH

- Launched in 2010 and led by the UN Secretary-General
- To galvanize national and international action by governments, the UN, multilaterals, private sector and civil society to keep women's, children's and adolescents' health and wellbeing at the heart of development.
- To improve health and nutrition outcomes of women, children, adolescents
- Global Financing Facility in Support of Every Woman Every
 Child Launches Replenishment to Save Lives of up to 38 Million
 Women, Children and Adolescents by 2030 (Sept 2017)
 - BMGF announced pledge of \$200 million
- http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2017/09/20/global-financing-facility-launches-replenishment-to-save-lives-of-up-to-38-million-women-children-and-adolescents-by-2030





Routine Immunization supported through GAVI

- 11 of 16 GPEI countries are GAVI priority countries (Tiers 1 and 2)
- Current examples of interaction
 - India –HSIS funds for bridge funding to transition WHO National Polio Surveillance Project (NPSP) and UNICEF SocMobNET
 - Ethiopia and DRC short-term, ad hoc support for WHO SMOs
 - South Sudan HSIS funds to support piloting Boma Health Initiative in Jubek (former Juba)
 - Bangladesh Negotiations to transition SMOs to MoH (negotiating HSS3)





Global Health Security- WHE/IHR

- GPEI infrastructure and field staff on the ground collaborating with the infrastructure and staff available for the broader humanitarian emergency response.
- As one of WHO's only operational programs, polio capacity at country level provides critical support for emergencies and outbreaks
 - EBOLA- In Nigeria, reliance on polio staff and Emergency Operations Centre to lead and coordinate the Ebola response. In Sierra Leone, the only staff in the WHO country office with surveillance and microplanning experience were polio-funded.
 - PLAGUE- The entire polio team in Madagascar is currently working on the plague outbreak (surveillance, microplanning, social mobilization etc). Polio has been asked to continue funding them, given their critical contributions.
- WHO/WHE trying to identify potential polio candidates for upcoming WHE vacancies in all 16 polio transition countries





Where do we go from here?

- Countries need to assess, for themselves, the value of the polio assets, and how essential these resources are to their programmes
- Many of these assets have the potential to contribute to maintaining polio-eradication (e.g., routine immunization)
- If they want to maintain all/some of these functions, countries will need to identify funding outside GPEI
 - Domestic investments
 - Innovative financing mechanisms e.g., Global Financing Facility, IDA loans
 - Traditional GPEI donors
 - Non-GPEI donors e.g., private sector



DISCUSSION

- As an independent body, should TIMB help stimulate interactions with these initiatives?
- Can the TIMB catalyse outreach to and collaboration with these other global initiatives, and still be viewed as a 'neutral broker'?





Thank you