Government of Pakistan

## CURRENT EPIDEMIOLOGY OF POLIO IN PAKISTAN

As of the 28th September, a total of thirty nine wild poliovirus (WPV) cases (36 type-1, 2 type-3 and 1 mixed type-1 \& type-3) have been reported from twenty three districts/tribal agencies in 2012. Moreover WPV-1 has also been isolated from 59 out of 146 environmental samples (of the available results) collected from large urban populations in four major provinces indicating the continued widespread circulation of wild poliovirus in year 2012.
Eleven polio cases in 2012 had date of onset of paralysis in January, three in February, one each in March and April, six in May, two in June, five in July, eight in August and two in September.

The genetic data of the polio cases in 2012 so far indicates that one genetic cluster of WPV-1 (A3D2B) is present in all the 4 major provinces and most dominant both in AFP and environmental samples. Maximum biodiversity of the WPV-1 is in FATA and KP.

## Key characteristics of polio cases in 2012 so far:

Twenty five polio cases are younger than two years. Median age of confirmed polio cases is 13 months.
Based on recall of parents: a) median number of OPV doses received by the polio cases is 3 in the areas with no access issues and zero (0) for areas with major access issues in FATA; b) fourteen did not receive any OPV dose (through routine vaccination and / or campaigns); c) three cases received 1-3 OPV doses; d) four cases received 4-6 OPV doses; e) nine received seven or more OPV doses; and f) Twenty three did not receive any routine OPV dose. Three cases missed OPV doses due to refusal of the family.
The available epidemiological and genetic data reflect an association of the recent cases with the wild poliovirus transmission in FATA and that this virus is straddling in tribal areas bordering with Afghanistan.
There are three places in Pakistan where the polio virus has sanctuaries most firmly established: Gaddap in Karachi (particularly Union Council 4); Khyber Agency in

## NEWS BRIEFS \& UPCOMING EVENTS

- Special Advisor to the DG WHO Dr. Gezairy met PM's Polio focal person, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Governor Khyber Pakhtunkhwa \& FATA, renowned religious leaders Maulana Sami ul Haq and Maulna Shirani \& Imam of Faisal Mosque.
- Case response in Punjab (Rawalpindi) and KP planned from 27-29 Sep.


## - Next NIDs planned to start on 15th October

- Additional passage with mOPV-1 planned in Sindh after the SNIDs.
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FATA; and Pishin, in Balochistan. The virus is surviving in these sanctuaries because a large number of children are repeatedly missed in several campaigns. Stopping transmission requires a sharp focus on reaching these missed children.
The recent floods in Sindh and Balochistan have increased the risk of WPV outbreaks in the affected areas and high quality vaccination campaigns is the only solution to minimize this risk.


Dr Hussain A Jezairy meeting Maulana Sami ul Haq
Map-1: Wild Poliovirus Isolates (as of 28-09-2012)


## September 2012 SNIDs

The Third Sub-National Immunization Days (SNIDs) have been conducted so far in 85 out of the 89 planned districts. While SNIDs in Jaffarabad and Nasirabad districts in Balochistan (target children: 0.28 million) are postponed due to heavy rains and floods and are yet to be conducted. Moreover, the campaign has not been conducted in North Waziristan and most areas of the South Waziristan (target children: 0.2 million) in FATA due to ban on vaccination by some local elements.


Senator Ms. Rubina Khalid (KP) inaugurating SNIDs September


Speaker Assembly Balochistan inaugurating SNIDs September 2012

Senator Ms. Robina Khalid kicked off the campaign in Peshawar district of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. In Punjab the SNIDs were inaugurated in Lahore by the Special Assistant to Chief Minster on Health Mr. Khawaja Salman Rafiq. The Speaker Balochistan Assembly inaugurated the campaign in Lasbella.

Lot Quality Assurance Sampling (LQAS) and Market Survey has been conducted following the September SNIDs 2012. LQAS results indicate that among the total 57 lots assessed, 34 (60\%) were not rejected for $95 \%$, 12 (21\%) were rejected for $95 \%$ each in districts Faisalabad in Punjab; Kohat, Lakki Marwat and Nowshera in KP; ICT in Islamabad; Chaghi, Kalat, Lasbela, Nushki and Pishin while two lots in Quetta. 6 (11\%) were rejected for $90 \%$ in district Lakki Marwat in KP, Mohmand Agency in FATA; each in Killa Saifullah and Pishin while two lots in Killa Abdullah. 5 (9\%) were rejected for 80\% in Agency Bajour in FATA; two lots each in district Killa Abdullah and Pishin in Balochistan.

Market Survey Results of September SNIDs 2012*

| Province | Children <br> checked age <br> <5 years n | Children <br> vaccinated <br> age <5 years <br> $n$ | Children <br> vaccinated age <br> <5 years \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUNJAB | 2,672 | 2,566 | $96 \%$ |
| SINDH | 3,136 | 2,880 | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |
| KP | 1,193 | 1,137 | $99 \%$ |
| FATA | 291 | 269 | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |
| B'tan | 1,033 | 837 | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ |
| ISLAMABAD | 310 | 285 | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ |

LQAS Results of September SNIDs 2012*

| Province | No. of Lots taken | Not rejected at 95\% |  | Rejected only at 95\% |  | Rejected only at 90\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rejected } \\ & \text { only at } \\ & \mathbf{8 0 \%} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
| PUNJAB | 13 | 12 | 92\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| KP | 16 | 12 | 75\% | 3 | 19\% | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% |
| FATA | 5 | 3 | 60\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% |
| B'tan | 21 | 6 | 29\% | 7 | $33 \%$ | 4 | 19\% | 4 | 19\% |
| ISLAMABAD | 2 | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Grand Total | 57 | 34 | 60\% | 12 | 21\% | 6 | 11\% | 5 | 9\% |

Final results of Market Survey and LQAS are still awaited

## Contact specimen completeness and timeliness year 2012

The proportion of AFP cases with adequate stool specimens is one of the key indicators representing the sensitivity and quality of a surveillance system. Contact sampling is recommended for every AFP case with inadequate stool specimens and notified within two months of the onset of paralysis. Three contact samples are collected from healthy children who are close contacts under 5 years of age (preferably siblings) of the index AFP

Table 2: High Risk districts with suboptimal completeness and timeliness case. Since 2007, thirty five ( $5.75 \%$ ) confirmed polio cases, have been detected by virtue of isolation of wild poliovirus from contact specimens while the stool samples of the index AFP case were negative for poliovirus, which reinforces its usefulness for the program in detection of WPV circulation. Completeness and Timeliness of the contact specimens collection are the two critical indicators to assess the quality of the process.
Completeness: In 2012, the contact specimens completeness at the national level is $89 \%$ which is above the target of $80 \%$. All the provinces also met the target except FATA (64\%), Balochis$\tan$ (47\%), and AJK (50\%). Moreover, $79 \%$ of the districts in Pakistan achieved the target of $80 \%$ for contact specimens completeness.
Timeliness: Timely collection (within 7 days of the notification of the index AFP case) of contact specimens is essential to enhances the chances of wild poliovirus isolation, if any. At the national level, $66 \%$ of the contact specimens were timely collected in 2012 (target=80\%). The target timeliness could not be achieved at the provincial level except Punjab (83\%). Overall, $48 \%$ of the districts in the country could meet the target of $80 \%$ timeliness.
Table-2 features the high risk districts which could not achieve the target for either or both contact specimens completeness and timeliness.

Provincial and district health leadership must take serious measures to ensure timely collection of 3 contact specimens for every

| Province | District | Cases eli- <br> gible for <br> contact <br> specimens | Com- <br> pleteness <br> of contact <br> samples | Timeliness <br> of contact <br> samples |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punjab | Muzafargarh | 8 | $100 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Sindh | Ghotki | 4 | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sindh | Jacobabad | 6 | $83 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Sindh | Kambar | 10 | $60 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Sindh | Kashmore | 2 | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Sindh | Khairpur | 7 | $86 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Sindh | Karachi Gadap | 2 | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Sindh | Karachi G Iqbal | 2 | $100 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Sindh | Larkana | 2 | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sindh | Shikarpur | 3 | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| KP | Charsada | 2 | $100 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| KP | Peshawar | 7 | $100 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| FATA | Kurram | 1 | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| FATA | Orakzai | 1 | $0 \%$ |  |
| Balochistan | Nasirabad | 1 | $0 \%$ |  |
| Balochistan | Pishin | 4 | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ | AFP case eligible for contact specimens collection.

Table (2): Province-wise AFP Surveillance Data for 2011 \& 2012


[^0]Table (3): DISTRICT WISE SUMMARY OF SURVEILLANCE DATA - PUNJAB

| District | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | $2012^{\wedge} 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  | Zero Reporting $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{3}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{\circ} \\ & \vdots \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| ATTOCK | 13 | 39 | 0 | 5.83 | 90 | 100 | 13 | 32 | 0 | 7.02 | 91 | 100 | 77 | 92 | 92 | 100 |
| BAHAWALPUR | 28 | 61 | 1 | 4.25 | 92 | 100 | 28 | 37 | 0 | 3.85 | 95 | 100 | 73 | 100 | 93 | 100 |
| BAHWLNAGAR | 22 | 64 | 0 | 5.79 | 86 | 100 | 22 | 37 | 0 | 4.91 | 97 | 100 | 90 | 99 | 92 | 100 |
| BHAKKAR | 12 | 44 | 0 | 7.53 | 86 | 100 | 12 | 35 | 0 | 8.79 | 97 | 100 | 14 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| CHAKWAL | 11 | 41 | 0 | 7.42 | 85 | 100 | 11 | 30 | 0 | 7.96 | 87 | 100 | 18 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| CHINIOT | 10 | 35 | 0 | 6.97 | 86 | 100 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 5.26 | 89 | 100 | 12 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| DGKHAN | 20 | 150 | 1 | 15.23 | 87 | 100 | 20 | 81 | 0 | 12.15 | 88 | 100 | 56 | 100 | 83 | 100 |
| FAISALABAD | 59 | 140 | 0 | 4.76 | 84 | 100 | 59 | 84 | 0 | 4.18 | 92 | 100 | 37 | 99 | 97 | 100 |
| GUJRANWALA | 38 | 139 | 0 | 7.24 | 93 | 100 | 38 | 82 | 0 | 6.27 | 99 | 100 | 47 | 100 | 93 | 100 |
| GUJRAT | 21 | 55 | 0 | 5.12 | 89 | 100 | 21 | 44 | 0 | 6.01 | 93 | 100 | 118 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| HAFIZABAD | 9 | 32 | 0 | 7.28 | 97 | 100 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 6.01 | 89 | 100 | 54 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| JHANG | 19 | 44 | 0 | 4.52 | 95 | 100 | 19 | 46 | 1 | 6.78 | 89 | 100 | 20 | 99 | 99 | 100 |
| JHELUM | 10 | 55 | 0 | 11.37 | 96 | 96 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 5.46 | 100 | 100 | 72 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| KASUR | 26 | 69 | 0 | 5.28 | 97 | 100 | 26 | 40 | 0 | 4.49 | 98 | 100 | 82 | 100 | 87 | 100 |
| KHANEWAL | 22 | 87 | 0 | 7.81 | 92 | 100 | 22 | 47 | 0 | 6.19 | 94 | 100 | 30 | 97 | 97 | 100 |
| KHUSHAB | 9 | 27 | 0 | 5.80 | 100 | 100 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 4.10 | 100 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| LAHORE | 77 | 128 | 1 | 3.32 | 91 | 100 | 77 | 102 | 0 | 3.91 | 94 | 100 | 42 | 100 | 98 | 100 |
| LAYYAH | 13 | 52 | 0 | 7.99 | 92 | 100 | 13 | 28 | 0 | 6.31 | 86 | 100 | 37 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| LODHRAN | 13 | 53 | 1 | 7.97 | 87 | 100 | 13 | 39 | 0 | 8.76 | 92 | 100 | 44 | 100 | 92 | 100 |
| MBDIN | 12 | 43 | 0 | 7.37 | 95 | 100 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 5.78 | 100 | 100 | 61 | 100 | 91 | 100 |
| MIANWALI | 11 | 48 | 0 | 8.54 | 94 | 100 | 11 | 27 | 0 | 7.04 | 96 | 100 | 17 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| MULTAN | 35 | 132 | 0 | 7.61 | 87 | 100 | 35 | 70 | 0 | 5.92 | 91 | 100 | 46 | 97 | 97 | 100 |
| MUZFARGARH | 32 | 174 | 1 | 10.94 | 87 | 100 | 32 | 82 | 0 | 7.60 | 88 | 100 | 48 | 100 | 82 | 100 |
| NANKANASAHIB | 14 | 52 | 0 | 7.37 | 94 | 100 | 14 | 31 | 0 | 6.44 | 90 | 100 | 29 | 100 | 98 | 100 |
| NAROWAL | 13 | 41 | 0 | 6.38 | 95 | 100 | 13 | 25 | 0 | 5.70 | 96 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| OKARA | 24 | 83 | 1 | 6.84 | 92 | 100 | 24 | 37 | 0 | 4.53 | 86 | 100 | 39 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| PAKPATTEN | 14 | 47 | 0 | 6.74 | 94 | 100 | 14 | 22 | 0 | 4.63 | 95 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| RAJANPUR | 13 | 102 | 0 | 15.60 | 87 | 100 | 13 | 58 | 1 | 12.79 | 88 | 100 | 39 | 100 | 84 | 100 |
| RAWALPINDI | 38 | 61 | 0 | 3.25 | 93 | 100 | 38 | 56 | 1 | 4.38 | 89 | 100 | 120 | 93 | 93 | 99 |
| RYKHAN | 37 | 100 | 1 | 5.37 | 88 | 100 | 37 | 64 | 0 | 5.09 | 86 | 100 | 80 | 100 | 82 | 100 |
| SAHIWAL | 19 | 66 | 0 | 6.88 | 85 | 100 | 19 | 26 | 0 | 3.98 | 88 | 100 | 39 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| SARGODHA | 27 | 71 | 0 | 5.23 | 96 | 100 | 27 | 39 | 0 | 4.22 | 95 | 100 | 159 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| SHEIKUPURA | 23 | 65 | 0 | 5.72 | 94 | 100 | 23 | 38 | 0 | 4.91 | 97 | 100 | 89 | 100 | 98 | 100 |
| SIALKOT | 29 | 80 | 0 | 5.45 | 86 | 100 | 29 | 41 | 0 | 4.10 | 100 | 100 | 131 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| TTSINGH | 17 | 81 | 0 | 9.64 | 81 | 100 | 17 | 49 | 0 | 8.56 | 84 | 100 | 14 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| VEHARI | 23 | 64 | 2 | 5.35 | 94 | 100 | 23 | 51 | 0 | 6.45 | 90 | 100 | 26 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| PUNJAB | 813 | 2625 | 9 | 6.44 | 90 | 100 | 813 | 1570 | 3 | 5.66 | 92 | 100 | 2010 | 99 | 96 | 100 |

* The expected number of AFP cases calculated @ 2/100,000 children below 15 years of age; number expected cases by district may not add up to the

Table (4): DISTRICT WISE SUMMARY OF SURVEILLANCE DATA - SINDH

| Town/District | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | $2012^{\wedge \wedge}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  | Zero Reporting $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town Wise Summary - Karachi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BALDIA | 6 | 21 | 2 | 6.43 | (76) | 100 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 6.45 | (77) | 90 | 20 | *** | *** | *** |
| BINQASIM | 5 | 16 | 0 | 6.84 | (75) | 100 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 7.52 | (75) | 100 | 22 | *** | *** | *** |
| GADAP | 4 | 36 | 2 | 15.30 | (75) | 97 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 8.41 | 83 | 92 | 12 | *** | *** | *** |
| GULSHAN-E-IQBAL | 8 | 23 | 1 | 5.42 | 91 | 100 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 4.70 | 85 | 100 | 20 | *** | *** | *** |
| GULBERG | 5 | 8 | 0 | 3.04 | (75) | 100 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2.23 | 100 | 100 | 14 | *** | *** | *** |
| JAMSHEED | 9 | 14 | 0 | 3.23 | (71) | 100 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 4.06 | 92 | 83 | 18 | *** | *** | *** |
| KAMARI | 6 | 10 | 0 | 3.51 | 90 | 89 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2.06 | (75) | 100 | 11 | *** | *** | *** |
| KORANGI | 7 | 19 | 0 | 5.23 | 95 | 100 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 4.44 | 91 | 90 | 13 | *** | *** | *** |
| LANDHI | 9 | 25 | 0 | 5.54 | 88 | 100 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 4.22 | (77) | 92 | 22 | *** | ** | *** |
| LAYARI | 6 | 10 | 0 | 3.24 | 70 | 100 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 2.38 | 80 | 100 | 15 | *** | *** | *** |
| LIAQATABAD | 8 | 14 | 0 | 3.71 | 100 | 100 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 3.11 | 100 | 100 | 17 | *** | *** | *** |
| MALIR | 6 | 19 | 0 | 6.45 | 84 | 94 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 4.48 | 100 | 100 | 13 | *** | *** | *** |
| N NAZIMABAD | 6 | 9 | 0 | 3.12 | (78) | 100 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 3.56 | 86 | 100 | 14 | *** | *** | *** |
| NORTH KARACHI | 8 | 15 | 0 | 3.77 | 87 | 100 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 4.43 | 92 | 100 | 16 | *** | *** | *** |
| ORANGI | 11 | 22 | 1 | 3.80 | 82 | 91 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 3.90 | 93 | 100 | 21 | *** | *** | *** |
| SADDAR | 9 | 14 | 1 | 2.87 | 93 | 100 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 2.26 | 100 | 100 | 21 | *** | *** | *** |
| SHAHFAISAL | 5 | 10 | 0 | 4.06 | 100 | 100 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 5.96 | 100 | 100 | 11 | *** | *** | *** |
| SITE | 7 | 20 | 2 | 5.00 | 80 | 100 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 5.17 | (75) | 100 | 16 | *** | *** | *** |

District Wise Summary-Sindh

| KARACHI | 123 | 305 | 9 | 4.73 | 84 | 98 | 123 | 178 | 0 | 4.23 | 87 | 96 | 296 | *** | *** | *** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BADIN | 12 | 61 | 4 | 9.52 | 89 | 100 | 12 | 34 | 0 | 8.33 | 100 | 100 | 54 | *** | *** | *** |
| DADU | 12 | 63 | 0 | 10.31 | 94 | 100 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 4.56 | 84 | 100 | 64 | *** | *** | *** |
| GHOTKI | 12 | 81 | 0 | 13.91 | 88 | 97 | 12 | 49 | 0 | 12.5 | 92 | 100 | 50 | *** | *** | *** |
| HYDERABAD | 15 | 50 | 1 | 6.39 | 92 | 100 | 15 | 36 | 1 | 6.70 | 89 | 100 | 30 | *** | *** | *** |
| JACOBABAD | 8 | 66 | 1 | 17.05 | 80 | 97 | 8 | 32 | 0 | 12.3 | (75) | 100 | 45 | *** | *** | *** |
| JAMSHORO | 6 | 30 | 1 | 9.03 | 83 | 100 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 7.76 | 88 | 86 | 37 | *** | *** | ** |
| KAMBAR | 11 | 36 | 2 | 6.29 | 83 | 97 | 11 | 34 | 0 | 9.23 | (71) | 100 | 52 | *** | *** | *** |
| KASHMORE | 7 | 34 | 1 | 9.39 | 88 | 100 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 6.26 | 87 | (29) | 39 | *** | *** | *** |
| KHAIRPUR | 17 | 87 | 0 | 10.12 | 87 | 100 | 17 | 67 | 0 | 11.4 | 90 | 100 | 102 | *** | * | *** |
| LARKANA | 12 | 55 | 0 | 9.39 | 89 | 100 | 12 | 33 | 1 | 8.01 | 91 | 100 | 52 | *** | *** | *** |
| MATIARI | 5 | 28 | 0 | 11.05 | 89 | 100 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 6.37 | 91 | 60 | 40 | *** | *** | *** |
| MIRPURKHAS | 10 | 73 | 0 | 14.55 | 96 | 100 | 10 | 37 | 1 | 10.5 | 95 | 100 | 104 | * | *** | *** |
| NFEROZ | 11 | 46 | 0 | 8.47 | 89 | 93 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 5.52 | (75) | 92 | 60 | *** | * | *** |
| SANGHAR | 16 | 49 | 2 | 5.80 | 80 | 98 | 16 | 28 | 0 | 5.07 | 93 | 100 | 55 | *** | ** | *** |
| SBENAZIRABAD | 10 | 20 | 0 | 3.62 | 85 | 100 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 3.36 | 92 | 100 | 61 | *** | *** | *** |
| SHIKARPUR | 9 | 55 | 0 | 11.76 | 89 | 100 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 10.0 | 91 | 100 | 54 | *** | *** | *** |
| SUKKUR | 10 | 27 | 0 | 5.24 | 85 | 100 | 10 | 17 | 0 | 4.84 | 100 | 100 | 70 | *** | *** | *** |
| T.ALLAHYAR | 5 | 40 | 1 | 15.42 | 90 | 98 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 10.4 | 94 | 100 | 34 | *** | *** | *** |
| THARPARKAR | 11 | 45 | 0 | 8.43 | 87 | 100 | 11 | 35 | 0 | 9.62 | 94 | 100 | 98 | *** | *** | *** |
| THATTA | 12 | 90 | 8 | 13.97 | 84 | 100 | 12 | 46 | 0 | 11.5 | 91 | 100 | 58 | *** | *** | *** |
| TMKHAN | 4 | 27 | 2 | 11.93 | 100 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 7.00 | 90 | 100 | 12 | *** | *** | *** |
| UMERKOT | 8 | 46 | 1 | 11.43 | 87 | 100 | 8 | 21 | 0 | 7.82 | 90 | 100 | 40 | *** | *** | *** |
| SINDH | 347 | 1414 | 33 | 7.92 | 87 | 99 | 347 | 801 | 3 | 6.75 | 89 | 96 | 1507 | *** | *** | *** |

Table(5): DISTRICT WISE SUMMARY OF SURVEILLANCE DATA - K. PAKHTUNKHWA \& FATA

## District /Agency

| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | 2012^^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Indicators |  |  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  | Zero Reporting $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| District Wise Summary - Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABOTABAD | 9 | 31 | 0 | 6.99 | 87 | 67 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 7.94 | 88 | (76) | 93 | *** | *** | *** |
| bannu | 8 | 45 | 2 | 10.98 | 91 | 96 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 6.74 | 89 | 85 | 43 | *** | *** | *** |
| BATAGRAM | 3 | 15 | 0 | 11.23 | 87 | 93 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 14.28 | (54) | (77) | 31 | *** | *** | *** |
| BUNIR | 6 | 48 | 3 | 13.62 | 90 | 94 | 6 | 27 | 0 | 12.26 | 89 | (6) | 42 | *** | *** | *** |
| CHARSADA | 12 | 64 | 1 | 10.81 | 84 | (35) | 12 | 29 | 2 | 7.05 | 93 | (6) | 49 | *** | *** | *** |
| CHITRAL | 3 | 9 | 0 | 5.17 | 100 | 67 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0.84 | 100 | (0) | 27 | *** | *** | *** |
| DI KHAN | 11 | 28 | 0 | 5.30 | 93 | 89 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 3.61 | 93 | (54) | 54 | *** | *** | *** |
| DIR LOWER | 9 | 49 | 0 | 11.25 | 84 | 94 | 9 | 25 | 0 | 8.42 | 92 | 100 | 62 | ** | *** | *** |
| DIR UPPER | 6 | 21 | 1 | 6.18 | 100 | 80 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 8.61 | 79 | 94 | 30 | *** | *** | *** |
| HANGU | 4 | 13 | 0 | 6.95 | 92 | (77) | 4 | 11 | 0 | 8.62 | (73) | (0) | 23 | *** | *** | *** |
| HARIPUR | 7 | 19 | 0 | 5.22 | 89 | (79) | 7 | 11 | 1 | 4.43 | (64) | (70) | 66 | *** | *** | *** |
| KARAK | 5 | 32 | 0 | 12.47 | 88 | 97 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 6.29 | 91 | (50) | 28 | *** | *** | *** |
| конат | 8 | 55 | 6 | 12.85 | 85 | (6) | 8 | 18 | 1 | 6.54 | 89 | (50) | 40 | *** | *** | *** |
| KOHISTAN | 4 | 6 | 0 | 3.10 | (6) | 83 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 4.54 | 83 | (40) | 31 | *** | *** | *** |
| LAKKI MARWAT | 6 | 74 | 3 | 24.30 | 89 | 80 | 6 | 27 | 2 | 12.55 | 81 | (61) | 57 | $* * *$ | *** | *** |
| MALAKAND | 5 | 33 | 0 | 12.11 | (70) | (76) | 5 | 13 | 0 | 7.00 | 85 | 67 | 46 | *** | *** | *** |
| mansehra | 10 | 42 | 0 | 8.02 | 93 | 95 | 10 | 22 | 0 | 6.31 | 86 | 84 | 69 | *** | *** | *** |
| MARDAN | 17 | 103 | 0 | 12.19 | 85 | 100 | 17 | 70 | 2 | 11.98 | 90 | 100 | 77 | *** | *** | *** |
| NOWSHERA | 10 | 41 | 2 | 7.81 | (76) | 80 | 10 | 24 | 0 | 7.05 | 92 | (43) | 47 | *** | *** | *** |
| PESHAWAR | 25 | 141 | 6 | 10.59 | (79) | (76) | 25 | 54 | 2 | 5.98 | 87 | (62) | 124 | *** | *** | ** |
| SHANGLA | 5 | 22 | 0 | 8.49 | 82 | 100 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2.26 | (50) | 67 | 35 | *** | *** | *** |
| SWABI | 12 | 79 | 1 | 13.20 | 94 | (79) | 12 | 38 | 1 | 9.44 | 97 | (77) | 63 | *** | *** | *** |
| SWAT | 15 | 30 | 0 | 3.95 | 90 | (77) | 15 | 6 | 0 | 1.16 | 83 | (0) | 48 | *** | *** | *** |
| TANK | 3 | 20 | 3 | 11.05 | 85 | (6) | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7.63 | 88 | (38) | 27 | *** | *** | *** |
| torghar | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3.64 | 86 | 86 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 10.69 | (6) | (0) | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| K-Pakhtukhwa ^ | 206 | 1027 | 30 | 9.66 | 86 | 81 | 206 | 501 | 15 | 7.03 | 86 | 68 | 1212 | *** | *** | *** |
| Agency Wise Summary - FATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BAJOUR | 7 | 49 | 2 | 13.32 | 90 | (22) | 7 | 11 | 2 | 4.16 | 100 | (0) | 28 | *** | *** | *** |
| KHYBER | 6 | 63 | 25 | 11.31 | 87 | 85 | 6 | 26 | 10 | 7.58 | 69) | (73) | 72 | *** | *** | *** |
| KURRAM | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2.78 | 100 | (40) | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2.72 | (75) | (0) | 30 | *** | *** | *** |
| MOHMAND | 4 | 25 | 4 | 9.58 | (76) | (72) | 4 | 5 | 0 | 3.70 | 80 | (25) | 26 | *** | *** | *** |
| ORAKZAI | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2.67 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 0 | (2.61) | (50) | (50) | 33 | *** | *** | *** |
| WAZIR-N | 3 | 36 | 14 | 12.66 | 97 | 97 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 8.44 | 82 | 100 | 24 | *** | *** | *** |
| WAZIR-S | 4 | 19 | 4 | 7.69 | 95 | (74) | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2.26 | 100 | (0) | 18 | *** | *** | *** |
| FATA ^ | 31 | 204 | 52 | 9.44 | 90 | 68 | 31 | 63 | 14 | 4.71 | (79) | (52) | 231 | *** | *** | *** |
| K-PAKHTUNKHWA \& FATA | 237 | 1231 | 82 | 9.63 | 87 | 79 | 237 | 564 | 29 | 6.72 | 86 | (6) | 1443 | *** | *** | *** |

*The expected number of AFP cases calculated @ $2 / 100,000$ children below 15 years of age; number expected cases by district may not add up to the total because of rounding off. M Population data source: Federal EPI **Non Polio rate is annualized up to Week No. 35 ended on 1st September 2012
$6 \ddagger$ Zero reports and active surveillance averaged for last 4 weeks $¥$ For received reports only *** Data not Available O Indicator below target $\dagger$ Target $\geq 80 \%$

Table (6): DISTRICT WISE SUMMARY OF SURVEILLANCE DATA - BALOCHISTAN

| District | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | 2012^^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  | Zero Reporting |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AWARAN | 1 | 1 | 0 | (1.99) | (0) | 100 | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 12 | 100 | 95 | *** |
| BARKHAN | 1 | 9 | 1 | 13.21 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 12.11 | 80 | (75) | 9 | *** | *** | *** |
| BOLAN | 3 | 12 | 0 | 8.95 | 83 | 83 | 3 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 23 | 79 | 75 | *** |
| CHAGHAI | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3.69 | (50) | 100 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5.41 | 100 | 100 | 19 | *** | *** | 100 |
| DBUGTI | 2 | 2 | 1 | (0.92) | (50) | 100 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2.69 | 100 | (50) | 9 | 100 | 100 | *** |
| GWADUR | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4.66 | 80 | 80 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2.74 | 100 | 100 | 11 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| HARNAI | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6.56 | (75) | 100 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3.21 | 100 | (0) | 10 | 90 | 80 | *** |
| JAFARABAD | 5 | 27 | 0 | 10.88 | 85 | 95 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 12.41 | 90 | 81 | 38 | 100 | 87 | *** |
| JHALMAGSI | 1 | 7 | 0 | 11.18 | (57) | 100 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4.69 | 100 | (0) | 21 | 90 | 87 | *** |
| KABDULAH | 5 | 47 | 22 | 9.85 | (74) | 80 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 5.20 | (78) | (6) | 26 | *** | *** | 100 |
| KALAT | 2 | 3 | 1 | (1.89) | (33) | 100 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2.78 | 100 | 100 | 15 | 100 | 86 | 63 |
| KECH | 4 | 9 | 0 | 5.05 | 89 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3.30 | 100 | 100 | 19 | 96 | 92 | *** |
| KHARAN | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3.96 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11.61 | (50) | (0) | 6 | 100 | 100 | *** |
| KHUZDAR | 5 | 19 | 5 | 6.18 | (79) | 100 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 4.53 | 100 | (67) | 31 | 100 | 97 | *** |
| KOHLU | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3.89 | (67) | 100 | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 6 | 87 | 70 | *** |
| KSAIFULAH | 2 | 7 | 2 | 5.27 | (43) | 86 | 2 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 14 | *** | *** | *** |
| LASBELA | 4 | 15 | 0 | 8.26 | (73) | 100 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 6.46 | 100 | 100 | 40 | 99 | 80 | *** |
| LORALAI | 2 | 11 | 3 | 7.63 | 91 | 100 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4.20 | 67) | 100 | 19 | 95 | 95 | 100 |
| MASTUNG | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5.12 | (33) | 100 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3.76 | (50) | 100 | 18 | 87 | 87 | 100 |
| MUSAKHEL | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.20 | (67) | 100 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 8.22 | (75) | 100 | 10 | 100 | 90 | 100 |
| NOSHKI | 1 | 9 | 3 | 13.83 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10.15 | 67) | (67) | 13 | 100 | 93 | *** |
| NSIRABAD | 3 | 22 | 0 | 13.99 | (73) | 100 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 9.33 | 90 | 86 | 28 | 71 | 49 | *** |
| PANJGOUR | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.81 | 100 | 100 | 2 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 13 | *** | *** | *** |
| PISHIN | 4 | 42 | 16 | 10.67 | (74) | 98 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 7.17 | 64) | 88 | 33 | 45 | 30 | 100 |
| QUETTA | 10 | 62 | 15 | 9.27 | 89 | 100 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 3.76 | 100 | 100 | 62 | 100 | 97 | *** |
| SHARANI | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.42 | (0) | 100 | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 4 | *** | *** | *** |
| SIBI | 2 | 7 | 0 | 8.40 | 86 | 86 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 8.80 | (40) | (0) | 20 | 99 | 99 | *** |
| WASHUK | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 9 | 98 | 78 | *** |
| ZHOB | 2 | 2 | 0 | (1.74) | 100 | 100 | 2 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 15 | *** | *** | *** |
| ZIARAT | 1 | 1 | 0 | (1.91) | 100 | 100 | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 10 | *** | *** | *** |
| BALOCHISTAN | 73 | 341 | 73 | 7.25 | (78) | 95 | 73 | 123 | 3 | 4.80 | 85 | 76 | 563 | 93 | 85 | 98 |

[^1]Table (7): DISTRICT WISE SUMMARY OF SURVEILLANCE DATA AJK G-BALTISTAN \& ISLAMABAD

| District | AFP Surveillance Data 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | AFP Surveillance Data 2012^^ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  |  |  |  | Indicators |  |  | Zero Reporting $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| District Wise Summary of Surveillance Data - AJK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAGH | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3.88 | 100 | 100 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1.90 | 100 | 100 | 15 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| BHIMBER | 3 | 7 | 0 | 4.29 | 100 | 100 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 4.49 | 100 | 80 | 11 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| HATTIAN BALA | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3.43 | 100 | 100 | 2 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 8 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| HAVELI | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 6 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| KOTLI | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1.97 | 100 | 100 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1.45 | 100 | (67) | 18 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| MIRPUR | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4.57 | 100 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3.35 | 100 | 100 | 26 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| MUZAFFARABAD | 5 | 6 | 0 | 2.32 | 67 | 100 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2.26 | (75) | 100 | 16 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| NEELUM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2.83 | (50) | 100 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.07 | 100 | 100 | 6 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| POONCH | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2.34 | 100 | 60 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1.37 | (50) | 100 | 11 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| SUDNUTI | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1.72 | (50) | 100 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1.00 | 100 | 100 | 07 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| AJK | 32 | 45 | 0 | 2.80 | 91 | 95 | 32 | 22 | 0 | 2.01 | 91 | 89 | 124 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| District Wise Summary of Surveillance Data - Gilgit-Baltistan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASTORE | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7.36 | 100 | 100 | 1 |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |  | 5 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| DIAMIR | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3.64 | 100 | (50) | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3.27 | 75 | (0) | 5 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| GHANCHE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1.46 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4.28 | 100 | (0) | 7 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| GHIZER | 2 | 6 | 0 | 6.90 | 83 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1.69 | 100 | (0) | 7 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| GILGIT | 2 | 6 | 0 | 5.94 | 83 | 83 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1.45 | 100 |  | 12 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| HUNZA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1.41 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.06 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| SKARDU | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1.19 | 100 | 100 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3.49 | 100 | (50) | 6 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| GILGIT-BALTISTAN | 14 | 26 | 1 | 3.64 | 92 | 85 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 2.77 | 92 | (13) | 42 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

District Wise Summary of Surveillance Data - Islamabad

| Islamabad | 12 | 15 | 0 | 2.45 | 93 | 100 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 3.36 | 93 | 91 | 42 | 100 | 96 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* The expected number of AFP cases calculated @ $2 / 100,000$ children below 15 years of age; number expected cases by district may not add up to the total because of rounding off.

M Population data source: Federal EPI **Non Polio rate is annualized up to week No. 35 ended on 01 September $2012 \quad \dagger$ Tar et $\geq 80 \% \quad \ddagger$ Zero reports and active surveillance avera ed for last 4 weeks

| Table (8): REPORTED AFP CASES BY WEEK OF ONSETI - (Source RRL REC file 07/09/2012) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 24 \\ 16 \text { Jun } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 26 \\ 30 \text { Jun } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 27 \\ 07 \text { Jul } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 28 \\ 14 \text { Jul } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 29 \\ 21 \text { Jul } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 32 \\ 11 \text { Aug } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ 33 \\ 18 \text { Aug } \end{gathered}$ | W 34 25 Aug |  |
| Punjab | 2632 | 1570 | 50 | 51 | 43 | 48 | 36 | 39 | 55 | 56 | 42 | 39 | 36 | 37 | 50 | 15 |
| Sindh | 1415 | 801 | 22 | 32 | 16 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 11 | 14 | 7 |
| K-Pakhtunkhwa | 207 | 501 | 12 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 23 | 6 |
| FATA | 341 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Balochistan | 45 | 123 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| AJK | 15 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Gilgit-Baltistan | 26 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Islamabad | 1031 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pakistan | 5712 | 3107 | 93 | 109 | 85 | 99 | 86 | 78 | 106 | 105 | 90 | 82 | 82 | 64 | 93 | 32 |
| Table (9): CONFIRMED POLIO CASES BY MONTH OF ONSET - (Source AFP REC file) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | September 2011 - August 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug ${ }^{71}$ | Sep ${ }^{\text {" }}$ |
| Punjab |  |  | 7 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Sindh |  | 27 | 33 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| K-Pakhtunkhwa |  | 26 | 23^ | 15 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
| FATA |  | 72 | 59^ | 14 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Balochistan |  | 12 | 73 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  | - | - |
| AJK/ Gilgit-Baltistan |  | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Islamabad |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pakistan |  | 144 | 198 | 39 | 37 | 18 | 21 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 |


[^0]:    Expected number of AFP cases calculated @ $2 / 100,000$ children below 15 years of age; number expected cases by province may not add up to the total because of rounding off
    $* *$ Non Polio rate annualized up to week No. 35 ended 01 st September 2012 Zero reports and active surveillance averaged for last 4 weeks $\dagger$ Target $>80 \%$ *** Data not rece ${ }^{* *}$ Non Polio rate annualized up to week No. 35 ended 01st September 2012. $\ddagger$ Zero reports and active surveillance averaged for last 4 weeks $\dagger$ Target $\geq 80 \%{ }^{* * *}$ Data not receivived $\wedge$ Data as per REC file of 07 th September 2012. \$ Population data source: Federal EPI $\mu$ Cases from FR areas are included in FATA $¥$ For received reports only

[^1]:    * The expected number of AFP cases calculated @ $2 / 100,000$ children below 15 years of age; number expected cases by district may not add up to the total because of rounding off. M Population data source: Federal EPI **Non Polio rate is annualized up to Week No. 35 ended on 01st September 2012 $\ddagger$ Zero reports and active surveillance averaged for last 4 weeks $¥$ For received reports only *** Data not Available O Indicator below target $\dagger$ Target $\geq 80 \%$

